

IN THE COURT OF BAKHT FAKHAR BAHZAD,
DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE/JUDGE CONSUMER COURT
GUJRAT

CASE NO. 05-201

Date of decision: 29-05-2017

SADIA SHARIF VS CHAIRMAN OTCS

Present: Nemo for the claimant.

The instant claim was filed on 20-01-2017. The notice was issued to defendants but on many consecutive dates the claimant has failed to deposit the process fee in the Court for summoning of the defendants. Again notices were issued through process server and he has submitted the report that the claimant has mentioned the wrong addresses of the defendants. The defendants were also summoned through TCS but the notice sent through TCS return un-served due to mentioning of wrong addresses of the defendants. Sensing the situation, the claimant was directed to submit the correct address so that the defendants be summoned but today neither the claimant has appeared nor deposited the process fee nor has supplied the correct addresses of the defendants as required under Rule 13 sub rule (2) of PCP Rule 2009. The instant claim is hereby dismissed due to

1. non prosecution
2. non deposit of process fee
3. non compliance of the mandatory provision as provided in
 Rule 13 sub rule (2) of PCP Rule 2009.

File be consigned to record room after necessary compilation.

Announced: 29-05-2017

(BAKHT FAKHAR BAHZAD)
D & SJ/ Presiding Officer
District Consumer Court Gujrat