

**IN THE COURT OF SOHAIB AHMED RUMI DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE/PRESIDING OFFICER DISTRICT CONSUMER
COURT SARGODHA.**

Case No. 109/2013
Date of institution 13.08.2013
Date of Decision 29.08.2013

Muhammad Sarfraz s/o Noor Muhammad
r/o Moza Gulbana Tehsil Sahiwal District Sargodha
(Complainant)

Versus
SDO WAPDA etc.
Jhang Division

(Respondents)

J U D E M E N T
29.08.2013

Case of the complainant is that he has been served a electricity bill of an exorbitant amount Rs, 50000/- for the use of electricity at his domestic connection installed at Mauza Gulbana Tehsil Sahiwal District Sargodha. SDO Akrayawala and Court Clerk present in the court alongwith record with the contention that consumer was using electricity for irrigational purposes installing electricity motor of 4HP which is resulted damage to the low capacity meter, therefore, the consumer has been penalized by serving a bill amounting to Rs, 50000/-.

I have heard both the parties and consulted the record produced by the SDO Sub Division Akrayawala.

Both the parties irrespective of their dispute have agreed on the following formula:-

Load 4 KW. Units 163 per HP

$4 \times 163 = 653 \times 3 = 1959 - 170 = 1789$ units

163 Units on the basis of loadshedding factor per HP is being charged against 4 KW load which amounts to 653 units. These units shall be charged for 3 months total 1959 units. As per record produced by the SDO the consumer has already paid 170 units for this period, therefore, total units chargeable to the consumer are 1789 units with segregation. Respondent are directed to issue the consumer amended bill according to

above said formula agreed upon by the parties in the court by tomorrow. As and when the consumer deposit the bill his electricity connection shall be restored forthwith. With these observations the case is disposed off as borne fruitful. File be consigned record room after due completion.

Announced
29.08.2013

Sd/-
(Sohaib Ahmed Rumi)
Presiding Officer,
District Consumer Court,
Sargodha

**IN THE COURT OF SOHAIB AHMED RUMI DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE/PRESIDING OFFICER DISTRICT CONSUMER
COURT SARGODHA.**

Case No. 102/2013
Date of institution 23.07.2013
Date of Decision 19.08.2013

Sheikh Jameel Ahmed
r/o St. No.2/20, New Civil Lines, Sargodha
(Complainant)

Versus
XEN WAPDA etc.
Islampura, Sargodha
(Respondents)

J U D E M E N T
19.08.2013

Case of the complainant is that he has been charged in the month of June, 2012 an electricity bill exorbitant amount of Rs, 62,825/- which is against the factual consumption.

On the other hand contention of the respondent is that there was theft of energy found at the premises for which the consumer has been charged 6 months penalized bill of 5256 units.

Irrespective of the controversy between the parties regarding theft of energy, if for the sake of arguments it is admitted to, even than the consumer could not have been charged double penalty for 6 months. According to respondent's policy circular the detection bill for energy can be charged limited to the period of 3 billing months or 6 months. In this case, no plausible explanation is available with the respondent for charging the consumer for 6 billing months. Therefore, the bill charged for 5256 units is set aside and respondents are directed to issue fresh bill according to the following formula:-

$$146 \times 6 \text{ KW} = 876 \times 3 \text{ Months} = 2628 \text{ units}$$

Upon deposit of which the electricity connection of consumer shall be restored immediately. Complaint is disposed off. File be consigned to record room after due completion.

Announced
19.08.2013

Sd/-
(Sohaib Ahmed Rumi)
Presiding Officer,
District Consumer Court,
Sargodha