### Order in application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC

#### 26.06.2019 PRESENT

Muhammmad Zaman Gondal Advocate for the claimant/respondent.

Ghulam Abbas Goindi Advocate for the defendants/applicants.

This is an application for rejection of the claim/complaint on the ground that the case of the claimant/respondents is hit by the bar created by **Section 28 (3)** of the Punjab Consumer Protection Act, 2005, as the claimant has failed to issue legal notice to the defendants especially to the defendant No.1.

- 2. On the other hand, it has been contested by the claimant by filing his reply to this application and his learned counsel has argued that the legal notice dated 02.11.2018 issued to the Regional Manager Utility Store Corporation Sargodha Region, Sargodha, defendant No.4 is sufficient for all the defendants who are officers of the same organization.
- 3. I have considered the contentions of both the sides in the light of record and law on the subject.
- 4. It is an admitted fact that no legal notice has been issued to the defendants No.1 to 3 and the so called legal notice dated 02.11.2018 was issued to the defendant No.4 alone though the format of this notice is one of the departmental complaint instead of the legal notice.
- 5. In situation of the aforementioned admitted fact, I would rely upon the case law referred by the learned counsel for the defendants/applicants reported as 2014 CLC 1454 where it has been held by the Honourable Lahore High Court, Lahore that the aforementioned provision of Section 28 (3) of the Punjab Consumer Protection Act, 2005, is mandatory.
- 6. As a conclusion of the above discussion, it is hereby held that the instant claim/ complaint is hit by the bar of **Section 28 (3)** ibid, therefore, it is not maintainable and proceedable, hence, the same is dismissed.

Announced 26.06.2019

Amir Iqbal. Vs. Muhammad Asghar Ali Incharge Utility Store, etc. Case No.160/2018

# Order in application under Section 476 Cr.P.C against the defendants No.1, 3 & 4.

<u>26.06.2019</u> PRESENT

respondents.

Muhammmad Zaman Gondal Advocate for the claimant/applicant Ghulam Abbas Goindi Advocate for the defendants/

This is an application dated 16.03.2019 for proceeding against the defendants No.1, 3 and 4 under Section 476 Cr.P.C with the allegation that they prepared a fake power of attorney of defendant No.2 and submitted the same in this court.

- 2. This application has been contested by all the defendants/ respondents through their counsel Ghulam Abbas Goindi Advocate by denying the allegation mentioned in the same with documentary proof of the process of preparation of the said power of attorney alongwith the process of its execution and dispatched through the documents i.e. the letters showing correspondence between the offices of defendants No.2 and 4 and the certified copies of the dispatch register with relevant entries in this regard, therefore, it is held that the aforementioned material is sufficient to refute the allegation leveled by the applicant.
- It is worth mentioning here that during the course of proceedings dated 16.03.2019 the claimant/ applicant has already disowned the contents of this application and genuineness his signatures on the same, therefore, in the light of his said stance before the court, this application has become worthless, hence, it is held that this application is without any substance, devoid of merits and not proceedable, rather it is based on malafide, thus, liable to be rejected, hence, it is so rejected.

Announced 26.06.2019

Presiding Officer, District Consumer Court, Sargodha. Amir Iqbal. Vs. Muhammad Asghar Ali Incharge Utility Store, etc. Case No.160/2018

# Order in application under Section 476 Cr.P.C against Muhammad Zaman Gondal Advocate.

#### 26.06.2019 PRESENT

Ghulam Abbas Goindi Advocate for the defendants/applicants.

Muhammmad Zaman Gondal Advocate as respondent.

This is an application dated 26.03.2019 filed by the learned counsel for the defendants/ applicants for proceeding under Section 476 Cr.P.C against Muhammad Zaman Gondal Advocate learned counsel for the claimant for preparation of a false application dated 16.03.2019 with fake signatures of the claimant seeking proceeding against defendants No.1,3 and 4 with the allegation of a fake power of attorney of defendant No.2.

- 2. This application has been contested by Muhammad Zaman Gondal Advocate by denying the allegation against him.
- 3. Keeping in view the orders of even date, passed by this court in two connected applications; one under Order VII Rule 11 CPC and other under Section 476 Cr.P.C field by the defendants and claimant respectively, this application has become infructuous, therefore, it is hereby disposed of accordingly.

Announced 26.06.2019

Presiding Officer, District Consumer Court, Sargodha.