

Rai Muhammad Ijaz Bhatti. Vs. Asad Electronics, etc.

CASE NO: 120/2018

05.01.2019

**PRESENT**

Rai Muhammad Ijaz petitioner.  
Nemo for the defendants.

Defendant No.3 failed to appear despite its service through registered AD, therefore, the same is proceeded ex-parte.

Now, the case is again fixed for pretrial settlement between the parties, to come up on 19.01.2019 for said purpose.

Announced  
05.01.2019

*[Signature]*  
Presiding Officer,  
District Consumer Court,  
Sargodha

19.01.2019

**PRESENT**

Nemo for the petitioner.  
Nemo for defendant No.1.  
Riaz Ahmad Butt defendant No.2.  
Defendant No.3 already proceeded ex-parte.

Since the DBA Sargodha is on continuous strike, therefore, it is re-fixed for pretrial settlement between the parties, to come up on 04.02.2019.

Announced  
19.01.2019

*[Signature]*  
Presiding Officer,  
District Consumer Court,  
Sargodha

04.02.2019

**PRESENT**

Rai Muhammad Ejaz Bhatti claimant.  
Nemo for the defendant No.1.  
Riaz Butt defendant No.2.

Since defendant No.2 being representative of the manufacturing company, has agreed for the replacement of the disputed refrigerator with the same description or in the same range of value against the same price.

Due to under taking given by the defendant No.2, the claimant feels satisfied, therefore, he is opted to withdraw his case, hence, it is disposed of being fructified. File of the case be consigned to record room after its due compilation.

Announced  
04.02.2019

*[Signature]*  
Presiding Officer,  
District Consumer Court,  
Sargodha.

*defendant*

*M-Haz*

*claimant*